

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC 20201 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,164	05/03/2001	Peter R. Rhode	46146-C (71758)	1034
21874	7590 04/03/2003			
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 9169 BOSTON, MA 02209			DECLOUX, AMY M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003	9)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/848,164	RHODE ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	_			
	Amy M. DeCloux	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orresp ndence address				
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	n			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>53-55,57-63,66-69 and 71-76</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 29-31, 35-37, 43-48, 52, 56, 64-65, 70 and 77-87.						
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						

Continuation Sh et (PTO-303)





Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The proposed amendment to claim 76 to depend on claim 74 would raise new issues because a multivalent MHC complex comprising two or more linked MHC molecules wherein the MHC molecule is modified to carry a detectable tag, has not been specifically previously searched. The proposed amendment to claim 75 to depend on claim 74 raises new issues because a multivalent MHC comple comprising two or more linked MHC molecules wherein the MHC molecules are linked to immunoglobulin domains has not been specifically searched previously.

The 112 first paragraph rejection is maintained because the Applicant has not pointed out support for the claimed product containin both limitations of lacking a functional domain and of one or both chains being truncated with respect to the full length chain. Applicant maintains the traversal of the rejection and notes that truncated MHC molecules are described on pages 23-24 and page 7 of the specification and that the limitation of truncated molecules was clearly intended to cover any and all of the MHC molecules disclosed in the specification. However, the examiner notes that said pages do not disclose a molecule comprising both said recited limitations.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The proposed amendments would, if entered, overcome the 112 second paragraph rejections and the outstanding objections.

PATRICK J. NOLAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

4/1/0